

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd ABN 75 053 980 117 www.douglaspartners.com.au Unit 2, 73 Sheppard Street Hume ACT 2620 PO Box 1487 Fyshwick ACT 2609 Phone (02) 6260 2788

Southern Alps Ski Club Lodge Cooperative Limited 21 Dundas Street Coogee NSW 2034

Project 205286.00 1 July 2021 R.001.Rev0 CMR

Attention: John Moore

Email: jtm29_pe@hotmail.com

Report on Geotechnical Inspection Proposed Additions and Alterations 4 Spencers Creek Road, Charlotte Pass

As requested, a Senior Geotechnical Engineer from Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) inspected the Southern Alps Ski Lodge located at 4 Spencers Creek Road in Charlotte Pass on 2 June 2021. The purpose of the site visit was to assess the geotechnical implications for site slope stability of proposed additions and alterations to the lodge in the replacement of four external stairs, one set on the northern end of the lodge and three at the southern end of the lodge. Some alterations of the southern end of the lodge are also planned, however it is understood that no significant change in the lodge footprint are planned. The lodge layout, locations of the alterations and borehole locations are shown on Figures 1 and 2 below.



Figure 1: Lodge Layout and Proposed Additions and Alterations



Integrated Practical Solutions

Brisbane • Cairns • Canberra • Central Coast • Coffs Harbour • Darwin • Geelong • Gold Coast • Macarthur • Melbourne Newcastle • North West Sydney • Perth • Port Macquarie • Sunshine Coast • Sydney • Townsville • Wollongong

Page 2 of 4

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater



Figure 2: Proposed Additions and Alterations and Borehole Locations (Red Circles)

The lodge is within the "G" zone shown on the Department of Infrastructure Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR) Geotechnical Policy maps where a "Geotechnical Report" is required for any new development unless that development is of a such a minor nature that it would have minimal or no adverse impact on the site slope stability. The purpose of the inspection was to assess whether the proposed external stair additions at Southern Alps Ski Club is of such minor nature and could be conducted under a Form 4 "Minimal Impact Certification" obviating the requirement for a "Geotechnical Report".

At the time of DP's site inspection and subsurface investigation, the existing stairs on both sides of the building and southern parts of the building to be altered were in good condition. Trees located in the surrounding area were generally upright and no signs of deep-seated instability were observed. A stump from a former tree was still present adjacent to (approximately 1 m) the building and planned alterations to the building's south wall. Surface water run-off from high ground to the east of the lodge was mostly being directed to either side (north and south) of the lodge, however there was some seepage and signs of possible overland flow, particularly during high flow events, that was making its way to the eastern side of the lodge.

Photographs illustrating the site conditions at the time of the inspection are attached.



During the site inspection, three boreholes (Bores 1, 2 and 2A) were drilled using hand tools and a 75 mm diameter hand auger to refusal depths of 0.8 - 0.9 m. Dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) testing was undertaken adjacent to each of the boreholes to assess the relative density condition of the strata. The locations of the boreholes are shown on Figure 2 above.

The boreholes encountered 0.35 m of topsoil overlying residual stiff to very stiff sandy clay and/or medium dense clayey sand to refusal depths of 0.8 - 0.9 m. Refusal of the boreholes is likely to have occurred on either weathered granodiorite or possibly corestones (boulders) within either residual soil or weathered granodiorite.

Wet conditions were encountered in Bores 2 and 2A below a depth of 0.6 m, with groundwater seepage observed in Bore 2A at a depth of 0.6 m. It should be noted that groundwater presence is affected by weather conditions, soil permeability and other factors, and may vary with time.

It is concluded that the proposed development will have negligible geotechnical impact on site conditions from a stability perspective with the following recommendations with regards to foundation design:

- all new footings associated with the proposed stairs must be transferred through any filling, topsoils and wet soil to within suitable natural soils (at least stiff/medium dense) or weathered rock. A minimum socket of 0.5 m into the suitable natural soil/rock is suggested.
- the base of the footing excavations should be inspected by a suitably qualified engineer to confirm that a suitable bearing stratum has been reached.
- minimal excavation is envisaged however the removal of some fill including granite boulders may need to be considered.
- As observed during the site inspection and the drilling of the boreholes, groundwater seepages can be present in the upper soil layers and in the underlying weathered rock or at the soil rock interface. It is recommended that allowance for form tube be made to line the outside of the pier holes to minimise groundwater seepages or collapse of the sidewalls of the footings should groundwater seepages or soft/loose soil be encountered.
- It is also suggested that a more formalised subsoil drain to say 0.6 0.8 m depth should be constructed upslope around the eastern side of the lodge to act as a cut-off and reduce groundwater seepages and flows reaching the lodge structure and impacting the proposed works.

On the basis that the above provisions are satisfactorily complied with, it is considered that the stair replacements can be completed under a Form 4 "Minimal Impact Certification". A signed copy of a Form 4 statement is attached to this report.

Douglas Partners (DP) has prepared this report for this project at Southern Alps Ski Lodge, 4 Spencers Creek Road, Charlotte Pass in accordance with DP's proposal dated 25 May 2021 and acceptance received from Southern Alps Ski Lodge Cooperative Limited, dated 26 May 2021. The work was carried out under DP's Conditions of Engagement. This report is provided for the exclusive use of Southern Alps Ski Club Lodge Cooperative Limited for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of DP, does so entirely at its own risk and without



recourse to DP for any loss or damage. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the sub-surface conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only to the depths investigated and at the time the work was carried out. Sub-surface conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after DP's field testing has been completed.

DP's advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations. The advice may also be limited by budget constraints imposed by others or by site accessibility.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the geotechnical components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by DP. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

We trust the above is in accordance with your present requirements. If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully Douglas Partners Pty Ltd

//M/cat.

Colin Reid Senior Associate

Attachments: About Explar Boreh Site P

About this Report Explanatory Notes Borehole Logs Site Photographs Form 4 Certificate Reviewed by

Michael Jones Principal



Introduction

These notes are provided to amplify DP's inspection report in regard to the limitations of carrying out inspection work. Not all notes are necessarily relevant to this report.

Standards

This inspection report has been prepared by qualified personnel to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis.

Copyright and Limits of Use

This inspection report is the property of DP and is provided for the exclusive use of the client for the specific project and purpose as described in the report. It should not be used by a third party for any purpose other than to confirm that the construction works addressed in the report have been inspected as described. Use of the inspection report is limited in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission.

DP does not undertake to guarantee the works of the contractors or relieve them of their responsibility to produce a completed product conforming to the design.

Reports

This inspection report may include advice or opinion that is based on engineering and/or geological interpretation, information provided by the client or the client's agent, and information gained from:

- an investigation report for the project (if available to DP);
- inspection of the work, exposed ground conditions, excavation spoil and performance of excavating equipment while DP was on site;
- investigation and testing that was carried out during the site inspection;
- anecdotal information provided by authoritative site personnel; and

DP's experience and knowledge of local geology.

Such information may be limited by the frequency of any inspection or testing that was able to be practically carried out, including possible site or cost constraints imposed by the client/ contractor(s). For these reasons, the reliability of this inspection report is limited by the scope of information on which it relies.

Every care is taken with the inspection report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions and any recommendations or suggestions for construction or design. However, DP cannot anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- unexpected variations in subsurface conditions that are not evident from the inspection; and
- the actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

Should these issues occur, then additional advice should be sought from DP and, if required, amendments made.

This inspection report must be read in conjunction with any attached information. This inspection report should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions from review by others of this inspection report or test data, which are not otherwise supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this inspection report.

Sampling

Sampling is carried out during drilling or test pitting to allow engineering examination (and laboratory testing where required) of the soil or rock.

Disturbed samples taken during drilling provide information on colour, type, inclusions and, depending upon the degree of disturbance, some information on strength and structure.

Undisturbed samples are taken by pushing a thinwalled sample tube into the soil and withdrawing it to obtain a sample of the soil in a relatively undisturbed state. Such samples yield information on structure and strength, and are necessary for laboratory determination of shear strength and compressibility. Undisturbed sampling is generally effective only in cohesive soils.

Test Pits

Test pits are usually excavated with a backhoe or an excavator, allowing close examination of the insitu soil if it is safe to enter into the pit. The depth of excavation is limited to about 3 m for a backhoe and up to 6 m for a large excavator. A potential disadvantage of this investigation method is the larger area of disturbance to the site.

Large Diameter Augers

Boreholes can be drilled using a rotating plate or short spiral auger, generally 300 mm or larger in diameter commonly mounted on a standard piling rig. The cuttings are returned to the surface at intervals (generally not more than 0.5 m) and are disturbed but usually unchanged in moisture content. Identification of soil strata is generally much more reliable than with continuous spiral flight augers, and is usually supplemented by occasional undisturbed tube samples.

Continuous Spiral Flight Augers

The borehole is advanced using 90-115 mm diameter continuous spiral flight augers which are withdrawn at intervals to allow sampling or in-situ testing. This is a relatively economical means of drilling in clays and sands above the water table. Samples are returned to the surface, or may be collected after withdrawal of the auger flights, but they are disturbed and may be mixed with soils from the sides of the hole. Information from the drilling (as distinct from specific sampling by SPTs or undisturbed samples) is of relatively low reliability, due to the remoulding, possible mixing or softening of samples by groundwater.

Non-core Rotary Drilling

The borehole is advanced using a rotary bit, with water or drilling mud being pumped down the drill rods and returned up the annulus, carrying the drill cuttings. Only major changes in stratification can be determined from the cuttings, together with some information from the rate of penetration. Where drilling mud is used this can mask the cuttings and reliable identification is only possible from separate sampling such as SPTs.

Continuous Core Drilling

A continuous core sample can be obtained using a diamond tipped core barrel, usually with a 50 mm internal diameter. Provided full core recovery is achieved (which is not always possible in weak rocks and granular soils), this technique provides a very reliable method of investigation.

Standard Penetration Tests

Standard penetration tests (SPT) are used as a means of estimating the density or strength of soils and also of obtaining a relatively undisturbed sample. The test procedure is described in Australian Standard 1289, Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes - Test 6.3.1.

The test is carried out in a borehole by driving a 50 mm diameter split sample tube under the impact of a 63 kg hammer with a free fall of 760 mm. It is normal for the tube to be driven in three successive 150 mm increments and the 'N' value is taken as the number of blows for the last 300 mm. In dense sands, very hard clays or weak rock, the full 450 mm penetration may not be practicable and the test is discontinued.

The test results are reported in the following form.

 In the case where full penetration is obtained with successive blow counts for each 150 mm of, say, 4, 6 and 7 as:

 In the case where the test is discontinued before the full penetration depth, say after 15 blows for the first 150 mm and 30 blows for the next 40 mm as:

15, 30/40 mm

Sampling Methods

The results of the SPT tests can be related empirically to the engineering properties of the soils.

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Tests / Perth Sand Penetrometer Tests

Dynamic penetrometer tests (DCP or PSP) are carried out by driving a steel rod into the ground using a standard weight of hammer falling a specified distance. As the rod penetrates the soil the number of blows required to penetrate each successive 150 mm depth are recorded. Normally there is a depth limitation of 1.2 m, but this may be extended in certain conditions by the use of extension rods. Two types of penetrometer are commonly used.

- Perth sand penetrometer a 16 mm diameter flat ended rod is driven using a 9 kg hammer dropping 600 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.3). This test was developed for testing the density of sands and is mainly used in granular soils and filling.
- Cone penetrometer a 16 mm diameter rod with a 20 mm diameter cone end is driven using a 9 kg hammer dropping 510 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.2). This test was developed initially for pavement subgrade investigations, and correlations of the test results with California Bearing Ratio have been published by various road authorities.

Soil Descriptions

Description and Classification Methods

The methods of description and classification of soils and rocks used in this report are generally based on Australian Standard AS1726:2017, Geotechnical Site Investigations. In general, the descriptions include strength or density, colour, structure, soil or rock type and inclusions.

Soil Types

Soil types are described according to the predominant particle size, qualified by the grading of other particles present:

Туре	Particle size (mm)	
Boulder	>200	
Cobble	63 - 200	
Gravel	2.36 - 63	
Sand	0.075 - 2.36	
Silt	0.002 - 0.075	
Clay	<0.002	

The sand and gravel sizes can be further subdivided as follows:

Туре	Particle size (mm)	
Coarse gravel	19 - 63	
Medium gravel	6.7 - 19	
Fine gravel	2.36 - 6.7	
Coarse sand	0.6 - 2.36	
Medium sand	0.21 - 0.6	
Fine sand	0.075 - 0.21	

Definitions of grading terms used are:

- Well graded a good representation of all particle sizes
- Poorly graded an excess or deficiency of particular sizes within the specified range
- Uniformly graded an excess of a particular particle size
- Gap graded a deficiency of a particular particle size with the range

The proportions of secondary constituents of soils are described as follows:

In fine grained soils	(>35% fines)
-----------------------	--------------

Term	Proportion	Example
	of sand or	
	gravel	
And	Specify	Clay (60%) and
		Sand (40%)
Adjective	>30%	Sandy Clay
With	15 – 30%	Clay with sand
Trace	0 - 15%	Clay with trace
		sand

In coarse grained soils (>65% coarse)

with	clays	or	silts	

man olaye er ena		
Term	Proportion of fines	Example
And	Specify	Sand (70%) and Clay (30%)
Adjective	>12%	Clayey Sand
With	5 - 12%	Sand with clay
Trace	0 - 5%	Sand with trace
		clay

In coarse grained soils (>65% coarse)
 with coarser fraction

Term	Proportion	Example
	of coarser	
	fraction	
And	Specify	Sand (60%) and
		Gravel (40%)
Adjective	>30%	Gravelly Sand
With	15 - 30%	Sand with gravel
Trace	0 - 15%	Sand with trace
		gravel

The presence of cobbles and boulders shall be specifically noted by beginning the description with 'Mix of Soil and Cobbles/Boulders' with the word order indicating the dominant first and the proportion of cobbles and boulders described together.

Soil Descriptions

Cohesive Soils

Cohesive soils, such as clays, are classified on the basis of undrained shear strength. The strength may be measured by laboratory testing, or estimated by field tests or engineering examination. The strength terms are defined as follows:

Description	Abbreviation	Undrained shear strength (kPa)
Very soft	VS	<12
Soft	S	12 - 25
Firm	F	25 - 50
Stiff	St	50 - 100
Very stiff	VSt	100 - 200
Hard	Н	>200
Friable	Fr	-

Cohesionless Soils

Cohesionless soils, such as clean sands, are classified on the basis of relative density, generally from the results of standard penetration tests (SPT), cone penetration tests (CPT) or dynamic penetrometers (PSP). The relative density terms are given below:

Relative Density	Abbreviation	Density Index (%)
Very loose	VL	<15
Loose	L	15-35
Medium dense	MD	35-65
Dense	D	65-85
Very dense	VD	>85

Soil Origin

It is often difficult to accurately determine the origin of a soil. Soils can generally be classified as:

- Residual soil derived from in-situ weathering of the underlying rock;
- Extremely weathered material formed from in-situ weathering of geological formations. Has soil strength but retains the structure or fabric of the parent rock;
- Alluvial soil deposited by streams and rivers;

- Estuarine soil deposited in coastal estuaries;
- Marine soil deposited in a marine environment;
- Lacustrine soil deposited in freshwater lakes;
- Aeolian soil carried and deposited by wind;
- Colluvial soil soil and rock debris transported down slopes by gravity;
- Topsoil mantle of surface soil, often with high levels of organic material.
- Fill any material which has been moved by man.

Moisture Condition – Coarse Grained Soils For coarse grained soils the moisture condition

should be described by appearance and feel using the following terms:

- Dry (D) Non-cohesive and free-running.
- Moist (M) Soil feels cool, darkened in colour.

Soil tends to stick together. Sand forms weak ball but breaks easily.

Wet (W) Soil feels cool, darkened in colour.

Soil tends to stick together, free water forms when handling.

Moisture Condition – Fine Grained Soils

For fine grained soils the assessment of moisture content is relative to their plastic limit or liquid limit, as follows:

- 'Moist, dry of plastic limit' or 'w <PL' (i.e. hard and friable or powdery).
- 'Moist, near plastic limit' or 'w ≈ PL (i.e. soil can be moulded at moisture content approximately equal to the plastic limit).
- 'Moist, wet of plastic limit' or 'w >PL' (i.e. soils usually weakened and free water forms on the hands when handling).
- 'Wet' or 'w ≈LL' (i.e. near the liquid limit).
- 'Wet' or 'w >LL' (i.e. wet of the liquid limit).

Rock Descriptions

Rock Strength

Rock strength is defined by the Unconfined Compressive Strength and it refers to the strength of the rock substance and not the strength of the overall rock mass, which may be considerably weaker due to defects.

The Point Load Strength Index $Is_{(50)}$ is commonly used to provide an estimate of the rock strength and site specific correlations should be developed to allow UCS values to be determined. The point load strength test procedure is described by Australian Standard AS4133.4.1-2007. The terms used to describe rock strength are as follows:

Strength Term	Abbreviation	Unconfined Compressive Strength MPa	Point Load Index * Is ₍₅₀₎ MPa
Very low	VL	0.6 - 2	0.03 - 0.1
Low	L	2 - 6	0.1 - 0.3
Medium	М	6 - 20	0.3 - 1.0
High	Н	20 - 60	1 - 3
Very high	VH	60 - 200	3 - 10
Extremely high	EH	>200	>10

* Assumes a ratio of 20:1 for UCS to $Is_{(50)}$. It should be noted that the UCS to $Is_{(50)}$ ratio varies significantly for different rock types and specific ratios should be determined for each site.

Degree of Weathering

The degree of weathering of rock is classified as follows:

Term	Abbreviation	Description
Residual Soil	RS	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are no longer visible, but the soil has not been significantly transported.
Extremely weathered	XW	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are still visible
Highly weathered	HW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable. Rock strength is significantly changed by weathering. Some primary minerals have weathered to clay minerals. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.
Moderately weathered	MW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable, but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Slightly weathered	SW	Rock is partially discoloured with staining or bleaching along joints but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Fresh	FR	No signs of decomposition or staining.
Note: If HW and MW cannot be differentiated use DW (see below)		
Distinctly weathered	DW	Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by iron staining. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to deposition of weathered products in pores.

Rock Descriptions

Degree of Fracturing

The following classification applies to the spacing of natural fractures in diamond drill cores. It includes bedding plane partings, joints and other defects, but excludes drilling breaks.

Term	Description
Fragmented	Fragments of <20 mm
Highly Fractured Core lengths of 20-40 mm with occasional fragments	
Fractured Core lengths of 30-100 mm with occasional shorter and longer section	
Slightly Fractured Core lengths of 300 mm or longer with occasional sections of 100-300 mr	
Unbroken	Core contains very few fractures

Rock Quality Designation

The quality of the cored rock can be measured using the Rock Quality Designation (RQD) index, defined as:

RQD % = <u>cumulative length of 'sound' core sections ≥ 100 mm long</u> total drilled length of section being assessed

where 'sound' rock is assessed to be rock of low strength or stronger. The RQD applies only to natural fractures. If the core is broken by drilling or handling (i.e. drilling breaks) then the broken pieces are fitted back together and are not included in the calculation of RQD.

Stratification Spacing

For sedimentary rocks the following terms may be used to describe the spacing of bedding partings:

Term	Separation of Stratification Planes					
Thinly laminated	< 6 mm					
Laminated	6 mm to 20 mm					
Very thinly bedded	20 mm to 60 mm					
Thinly bedded	60 mm to 0.2 m					
Medium bedded 0.2 m to 0.6 m						
Thickly bedded	0.6 m to 2 m					
Very thickly bedded	> 2 m					

Symbols & Abbreviations

Introduction

These notes summarise abbreviations commonly used on borehole logs and test pit reports.

Drilling or Excavation Methods

С	Core drilling
R	Rotary drilling
SFA	Spiral flight augers
NMLC	Diamond core - 52 mm dia
NQ	Diamond core - 47 mm dia
HQ	Diamond core - 63 mm dia
PQ	Diamond core - 81 mm dia

Water

\triangleright	Water seep
\bigtriangledown	Water level

Sampling and Testing

- A Auger sample
- B Bulk sample
- D Disturbed sample
- E Environmental sample
- Undisturbed tube sample (50mm)
- W Water sample
- pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
- PID Photo ionisation detector
- PL Point load strength Is(50) MPa
- S Standard Penetration Test V Shear vane (kPa)

Description of Defects in Rock

The abbreviated descriptions of the defects should be in the following order: Depth, Type, Orientation, Coating, Shape, Roughness and Other. Drilling and handling breaks are not usually included on the logs.

Defect Type

В	Bedding plane
Cs	Clay seam
Cv	Cleavage
Cz	Crushed zone
Ds	Decomposed seam
F	Fault
J	Joint
Lam	Lamination
Pt	Parting
Sz	Sheared Zone
V	Vein

Orientation

The inclination of defects is always measured from the perpendicular to the core axis.

h horizontal

21

- v vertical
- sh sub-horizontal
- sv sub-vertical

Coating or Infilling Term

cln	clean
со	coating
he	healed
inf	infilled
stn	stained
ti	tight
vn	veneer

Coating Descriptor

ca	calcite
cbs	carbonaceous
cly	clay
fe	iron oxide
mn	manganese
slt	silty

Shape

cu	curved
ir	irregular
pl	planar
st	stepped
un	undulating

Roughness

ро	polished
ro	rough
sl	slickensided
sm	smooth
vr	very rough

Other

fg	fragmented
bnd	band
qtz	quartz

Symbols & Abbreviations

Graphic Symbols for Soil and Rock

General

0	

Asphalt Road base

Concrete

Filling

Soils



Topsoil

Peat Clay

Silty clay

Sandy clay

Gravelly clay

Shaly clay

Silt

Clayey silt

Sandy silt

Sand

Clayey sand

Silty sand

Gravel

Sandy gravel



Talus

Sedimentary Rocks



Limestone

Metamorphic Rocks

+

Slate, phyllite, schist

Quartzite

Gneiss

Igneous Rocks



Granite

Dolerite, basalt, andesite

Dacite, epidote

Tuff, breccia

Porphyry

TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: PROJECT: LOCATION:

Southern Alps Ski Club Cooperative Limited Proposed Additions and Alterations 4 Spencers Creek Road, Charlotte Pass **SURFACE LEVEL:** 1779.5 AHD **EASTING:** 619463 **NORTHING:** 5966894

PIT No: 1 PROJECT No: 205286.00 DATE: 2/6/2021 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	<u>.</u>	Sampling & In Situ Testing							
님	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	ed ta e Results & Comments			Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)		
	. ,	Strata	U	Тy	De	San	Comments	_	5 10 15 20		
-	- - 0.38	TOPSOIL/Sandy Clayey SILT (MI/MH): medium to high plasticity, dark brown, with abundant rootlets, moist, TOPSOIL									
1779	-	Sandy Silty CLAY (CI): medium plasticity brown, medium to coarse grained sand, trace gravel, moist/moist to wet, w>PL, stiff, residual		A	0.4						
ł	- 0.8	-at 0.75m, grading to extremely weathered granodiorite Pit discontinued at 0.8m									
-	- 1 -	-refusal on possible weathered granodiorite or boulder							-1		
1778	-										

RIG: Hand Tools

A Auger sample B Bulk sample BLK Block sample C Core drilling D Disturbed sample E Environmental sample LOGGED: CMR

SURVEY DATUM: MGA94 Zone 55

□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon



TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: PROJECT: LOCATION:

Southern Alps Ski Club Cooperative Limited Proposed Additions and Alterations 4 Spencers Creek Road, Charlotte Pass **SURFACE LEVEL:** 1781.5 AHD **EASTING:** 619440 **NORTHING:** 5966877

PIT No: 2 PROJECT No: 205286.00 DATE: 2/6/2021 SHEET 1 OF 1

Γ		Description	.일 Sampling & In Situ Testing				& In Situ Testing	Water	Dimensia Demotormator Tant		
Ч	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type Depth		Sample	Results & Comments		Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)		
-	-	Strata TOPSOIL/Sandy Clayey SILT (MI): medium plasticity, dark brown, black, with abundant rootlets, moist, TOPSOIL		<u> </u>	ă	Sa	Comments		5 10 15 20		
1781	- - -	Silty CLAY (CI): medium plasticity, brown, moist, w>PL, stiff, residual		A	0.6			-			
-	- 0.8 -	-from 0.6m, moist to wet -from 0.7m, wet Pit discontinued at 0.8m -refusal									
-	- 1								-1		
1780	-							-			
-	-							-			

RIG: Hand Tools

A Auger sample B Bulk sample BLK Block sample C Core drilling D Disturbed sample E Environmental sample LOGGED: CMR

SURVEY DATUM: MGA94 Zone 55

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon



□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3 ⊠ Cone Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.2

TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: **PROJECT:** LOCATION:

Southern Alps Ski Club Cooperative Limited Proposed Additions and Alterations 4 Spencers Creek Road, Charlotte Pass

SURFACE LEVEL: 1781.5 AHD **EASTING:** 619440 NORTHING: 5966877

PIT No: 2A PROJECT No: 205286.00 DATE: 2/6/2021 SHEET 1 OF 1

	Depth (m)	Description	Graphic Log	Sampling & In Situ Testing			_				
RL		of		Type	Type Depth Sample		Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)		
	. ,	Strata	Ū	ту	Del	Sam	Comments	_	5	10 15	20
1781	-	TOPSOIL/Sandy Clayey SILT (MI): medium plasticity, dark brown, black, with abundant rootlets, moist, TOPSOIL									
	0.35 -	Silty CLAY (CI): medium plasticity, brown, moist, w>PL, stiff to very stiff, residual							-		
		-from 0.6m, wet									
-	- 0.7	Silty CLayey SAND (SC): fine to coarse grained, brown, wet, medium dense, residual									
	0.85	Clayey SAND (SC): medium to coarse grained, brown, wet, dense, possible weathered granodiorite	/.,/. /.,/.								
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	- 1	Pit discontinued at 0.9m -refusal on possible weathered granodiorite or boulder							-1		
	-								-		
											•
										: :	:

RIG: Hand Tools

LOGGED: CMR

SURVEY DATUM: MGA94 Zone 55

WATER OBSERVATIONS: Free groundwater observed at 0.6m

REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon

 SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

 G
 Gas sample

 P
 Piston sample

 U
 PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)

 U
 PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)

 W
 Water sample

 W
 Water seep

 Water level
 V

 V
 Water level

 A Auger sample B Bulk sample BLK Block sample C Core drilling D Disturbed sample E Environmental sample

□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3 ☑ Cone Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.2









Geotechnical Policy

Kosciuszko Alpine Resorts

Form 4 – Minimal Impact Certification

DA Number: _____

This form may be used where minor construction works which present minimal or no geotechnical impact on the site or related land are proposed to be erected within the "G" line area of the geotechnical maps.

A geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist must inspect the site and/or review the proposed development documentation to determine if the proposed development requires a geotechnical report to be prepared to accompany the development application. Where the geotechnical engineer determines that such a report is not required then they must complete this form and attach design recommendations where required. A copy of Form 4 with design recommendation, if required, must be submitted with the development application.

Please contact the Alpine Resorts Team in Jindabyne for further information - phone 02 6456 1733.

To complete this form, please place a cross in the appropriate boxes \Box and complete all sections.

1. Declaration made by geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist in relation to a nil or minimal geotechnical impact assessment and site classification

I, Mr ⊠	Ms 🗌	Mrs 🗌	Dr 🗌	Other	
First Name					Family Name
Colin					Reid
OF					

Company/organisation

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd

certify that I am a geotechnical engineer /engineering geologist as defined by the "Policy" and I have inspected the site and reviewed the proposed development known as

Proposed Additions and Alterations, 4 Spencers Creek Road, Charlotte Pass

As a result of my site inspection and review of the following documentation

(List of documentation reviewed)

Architectual Drawings 602.A.01 - Issue A, dated Sept 2020 (7 pages)

Structual First Floor Plan 20210316.S04, dated 19.04.2021

I have determined that;

- □ the current load-bearing capacity of the existing building will not be exceeded or adversely impacted by the proposed development, and
- the proposed works are of such a minor nature that the requirement for geotechnical advice in the form of a geotechnical report, prepared in accordance with the "Policy", is considered unnecessary for the adequate and safe design of the structural elements to be incorporated into the new works, and
- □ in accordance with AS 2870.1 Residential Slabs and Footings, the site is to be classified as a type

(insert classification type)	

I have attached design recommendations to be incorporated in the structural design in accordance with this site classification.

I am aware that this declaration shall be used by the Department as an essential component in granting development consent for a structure to be erected within the "G" line area (as identified on the geotechnical maps) of Kosciuszko Alpine Resorts without requiring the submission of a geotechnical report in support of the development application.

2. Signatures

Signature	

Chartered professional status

CPEng

Name

C M Reid

Date

1 July 2021

3. Contact details

Alpine Resorts Team

mlat

Shop 5A, 19 Snowy River Avenue P O Box 36, JINDABYNE NSW 2627 Telephone: 02 6456 1733 Facsimile: 02 6456 1736 Email: alpineresorts@planning.nsw.gov.au